Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 000–000 (0000) Printed 30 September 2016 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

On the selection of damped Lyman alpha systems using MgII absorption at $2 < z_{abs} < 4$.

T. A. M. Berg[1], S. L. Ellison[1], J. X. Prochaska[2], R. Sánchez-Ramírez[3,4,5], S. Lopez[6], V. D'Odorico[7], G. Becker[8,9], L. Christensen[10], G. Cupani[7], K. Denney[11], G. Worseck[12]

[1] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, V8P 1A1, Canada.*
[2] *Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, 95064, USA.*
[3] *Unidad Asociada Grupo Ciencias Planetarias (UPV/EHU, IAA-CSIC), Departamento de Física Aplicada I, E.T.S. Ingeniería, Universidad del País Vasco (UPV/EHU), Alameda de Urquijo s/n, E-48013 Bilbao, Spain.*
[4] *Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, Alameda de Urquijo 36-5, E-48008 Bilbao, Spain.*
[5] *Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA-CSIC), Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, E-18008, Granada, Spain.*
[6] *Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile.*
[7] *INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, Via Tiepolo 11, I-34143 Trieste, Italy.*
[8] *Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA.*
[9] *Institute of Astronomy and Kavli Institute of Cosmology, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK.*
[10] *Dark Cosmology Centre, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Juliane Maries Vej 30, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark.*
[11] *Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, 140 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.*
[12] *Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany.*

arXiv:1609.09081v1 [astro-ph.GA] 28 Sep 2016

30 September 2016

ABSTRACT

The XQ-100 survey provides optical and near infrared coverage of 36 blindly selected, intervening damped Lyman α systems (DLAs) at $2 < z_{abs} < 4$, simultaneously covering the MgII doublet at $\lambda\lambda$ 2796, 2803 Å, and the Lyα transition. Using the XQ-100 DLA sample, we investigate the completeness of selecting DLA absorbers based on their MgII rest-frame equivalent width (W_0^{2796}) at these redshifts. Of the 29 DLAs with clean MgII profiles, we find that six (20% of DLAs) have $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å. The DLA incidence rate of $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å absorbers is a factor of ~ 5 higher than what is seen in $z \sim 1$ samples, indicating a potential evolution in the MgII properties of DLAs with redshift. All of the $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å DLAs have low metallicities ($-2.5 < [M/H] < -1.7$), small velocity widths ($v_{90} < 50$ km s^{-1}), and tend to have relatively low N(HI). We demonstrate that the exclusion of these low W_0^{2796} DLAs results in a higher mean N(HI) which in turn leads to a $\sim 7\%$ increase in the cosmological gas density of HI of DLAs at $2 < z_{abs} < 4$; and that this exclusion has a minimal effect on the HI-weighted mean metallicity.

Key words: galaxies: abundances – galaxies: high redshift – galaxies: ISM – quasars: absorption lines

1 INTRODUCTION

Quasar (QSO) absorption line systems provide an excellent probe of the evolution of the HI gas content over cosmic time. Of the many classes of QSO absorption line systems, damped Lyman α systems (DLAs) are the highest HI column density absorbers, defined as having log N(HI)$\geqslant$ 20.3 (Wolfe et al. 1986, 2005). Although fewer in number compared to lower N(HI) counterparts (such as subDLAs; $19.0 < \log N(HI) < 20.3$), DLAs dominate the HI column density distribution from $z_{abs} \sim 5$ to the present epoch and are used to trace the cosmological gas density of HI (Ω_{HI}), eventually fuelling future generations of star formation (Lanzetta et al. 1995; Rao & Turnshek 2000; Storrie-Lombardi & Wolfe 2000; Péroux et al. 2003; Prochaska et al. 2005; Rao et al. 2006; Prochaska & Wolfe 2009; Noterdaeme et al. 2012; Zafar et al. 2013; Crighton et al. 2015; Neeleman et al. 2016; Sánchez-Ramírez et al. 2016). At absorption redshifts where the HI is observed in optical bands ($z_{abs} \gtrsim 1.5$), Ω_{HI} remains relatively constant with redshift (for the most recent results at these redshifts, see Crighton et al. 2015; Sánchez-Ramírez

et al. 2016). At $z \sim 0$, $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ is currently best measured from 21 cm emission line surveys of galaxies (Zwaan et al. 2005; Martin et al. 2010). Between these $z \sim 0$ measurements and $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ measured in DLAs at $z \sim 1.5$, the gas content of galaxies has only evolved by a factor of ~ 2 (Zwaan et al. 2005; Sánchez-Ramírez et al. 2016).

Despite well constrained estimates of $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ at $z \sim 0$ and at $z > 2$, studying the nature of the $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ evolution between $0.3 \lesssim z_{\rm abs} \lesssim 1.5$ is challenging, as the Lyα transition shifts into the ultraviolet, requiring expensive space-based observations; and 21 cm emission becomes extremely difficult to detect (Rhee et al. 2016). In an effort to improve the efficiency of space telescope observations, it has become common practice to pre-select candidate DLAs based on the rest-frame equivalent widths (EWs) of the associated MgII $\lambda\lambda$ 2796, 2803 Å absorption observed in the optical (Rao & Turnshek 2000; Rao et al. 2006, hereafter referred to as R00 and R06, respectively). With the inclusion of absorbers satisfying a MgII 2796 Å EW cut of $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.3$ Å (R00), the final statistical sample compiled in R06 contains *no* DLAs at $z_{\rm abs} \sim 1$ with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å[1].

$\Omega_{\rm HI}$ derived from $z_{\rm abs} \sim 1$ DLA samples pre-selected from MgII ($\Omega_{\rm HI} \sim 7.5 \times 10^{-3}$) are consistent with the $z_{\rm abs} \gtrsim 2$ value, implying strong evolution at the lowest redshifts (R06). However, a recent 'blind' archival survey of DLAs at $z \sim 1$ derived a value of $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ a factor of 3 lower than R06 ($\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$), and consistent with 21 cm results at $z \sim 0$ (Neeleman et al. 2016). This tension in $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ has led to suggestions that MgII DLA pre-selection may be biased, possibly leading to high $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ (Péroux et al. 2004; Dessauges-Zavadsky et al. 2009; Neeleman et al. 2016).

In this Letter, we investigate the nature of MgII selection of 36 DLAs at $2 < z_{\rm abs} < 4$ from the XQ-100 Legacy Survey (P.I. S. Lopez). The blind nature of the XQ-100 DLA sample combined with simultaneous observations of Lyα and MgII λ 2796 Å provide an excellent test of the effectiveness of the MgII selection technique for comparison with low redshift statistics.

2 DATA

The XQ-100 Legacy Survey observed 100 QSOs with the X-Shooter spectrograph on the Very Large Telescope, providing simultaneous wavelength coverage from 3150 Å–25000 Å at a full width at half maximum (FWHM) resolution R$\sim$5000–9000. For more details on the observations, see López et al. (2016). We emphasize that the 100 QSO targets were not pre-selected to contain DLAs, thus providing a 'blind' sample of DLAs along the lines of sight.

Sánchez-Ramírez et al. (2016) identified 41 DLAs by their Lyman series absorption in the XQ-100 spectra. However, five of these DLAs are within 5000 km s^{-1} of the rest-frame of the QSO. These proximate absorbers likely trace a different population of systems compared to their intervening counterparts, (Ellison et al. 2002, 2010; Berg et al. 2016) and are typically ignored when computing $\Omega_{\rm HI}$. We therefore restrict the DLA sample used in this Letter only to intervening DLAs.

[1] Although some DLAs with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å have been previously identified (e.g. Péroux et al. 2004, R06).

Table 1 contains a summary of the intervening DLAs, including the measured rest-frame EW for the MgII 2796 Å and 2803 Å lines, as well as the FeII 2600 Å line (with EW W_0^{2600}). The redshift, metallicity, and v_{90}[2] measurements are taken from Sánchez-Ramírez et al. (2016) and Berg et al. (2016). Absorption line profiles of the MgII lines are provided in Berg et al. (2016). Additionally, we tabulated the *D*-index defined in Ellison (2006) and Ellison et al. (2009). For the rest of this paper, only the 29 DLAs with EWs that are not blended (i.e. are not upper limits in Table 1) are used in the analysis.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The vast majority of DLAs identified at $z_{\rm abs} \sim 1$ have $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å (R00, R06). For example, in a recent compilation of 369 MgII systems (Rao et al. in prep), there are 70 MgII absorbers with $0.3 \leqslant W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å, but only one of these is a DLA (S. Rao private communication). As a result, many works have typically used $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å to pre-select potential DLA systems (R00, R06). Moreover, R06 used the EW of FeII 2600 Å (W_0^{2600}) to aid in identifying DLAs, and found that their DLA sample is confined to $W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} < 2$, whereas their subDLAs span a larger range of W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600}.

Figure 1 shows how the XQ-100 measurements of W_0^{2796} (left panel), the ratio W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} (middle panel), and *D*-index (right panel) vary with logN(HI). Starting with the left panel of Figure 1, we find that six[3] (20% of the sample) of the XQ-100 DLAs with measured W_0^{2796} have $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å (dashed line). However, *all DLAs pass the* $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.3$ *Å cut.* The middle panel of Figure 1 shows the W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} ratio for the XQ-100 and R06 samples, and demonstrates that 30% of the XQ-100 DLAs with FeII 2600 Å measurements have $W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} > 2.0$ (DLAs above dashed line). Only one DLA (J0034+1639, $z_{\rm abs} = 3.69$) does not satisfy both $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å and $W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} < 2$ restrictions. Lastly, the right panel of Figure 1 shows the *D*-index (i.e. W_0^{2796} normalized by the velocity width of the line ΔV; from Ellison 2006) for the XQ-100 DLAs as a function of logN(HI). The minimum *D*-index cuts required for absorbers to be DLA candidates are derived from Ellison (2006, their Table 2), and are tabulated in Table 1. The *D*-index cuts are based on the resolution of the X-Shooter spectrum at each MgII line (assuming a FWHM resolution of R= 5300). We note that the *D*-index of the XQ-100 DLAs does recover all the DLAs (i.e. points are above the grey band in the right panel of Figure 1).

Are the properties of $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ *Å DLAs different to the higher EW systems?* The colour bar in Figure

[2] v_{90} measures the velocity width corresponding to 90% of the integrated optical depth using one low-ion transition (Prochaska & Wolfe 1997).

[3] Two of these six DLAs with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å have previously been observed in the literature (DLAs towards J1108+1209 and J0134+0400), but previous observations have not covered the MgII absorption. We also note that one of the excluded proximate DLAs (J0034+1639 at $z_{\rm abs} = 4.25$) does not satisfy the $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å cut. This DLA is metal-poor ([M/H]= −2.40) and has an equivalent width $W_0^{2796} = 0.344 \pm 0.013$ Å.

Table 1. DLA properties and equivalent widths

QSO	z_{em}	z_{abs}	logN(HI)	W_0^{2796} Å	W_0^{2803} Å	W_0^{2600} Å	[M/H] (elem)	v_{90} km s^{-1}	D-index (cut[a])
J0003-2603	4.12	3.3900	21.40 ± 0.10	1.393 ± 0.010	1.147 ± 0.009	...	-1.93 ± 0.12 (ZnII)	21	5.6 (3.8)
J0006-6208	4.44	3.2030	20.90 ± 0.15	0.553 ± 0.027	< 0.537	0.383 ± 0.088	-2.31 ± 0.15 (FeII)	43	5.8 (3.8)
J0006-6208	4.44	3.7750	21.00 ± 0.20	1.196 ± 0.023	0.963 ± 0.026	0.724 ± 0.034	-0.94 ± 0.20 (ZnII)	54	7.0 (3.8)
J0034+1639	4.29	3.7525	20.40 ± 0.15	0.518 ± 0.013	0.510 ± 0.022	0.369 ± 0.015	-1.88 ± 0.16 (FeII)	32	5.4 (3.8)
J0113-2803	4.31	3.1060	21.20 ± 0.10	4.377 ± 0.047	3.620 ± 0.040	2.326 ± 0.035	-1.11 ± 0.10 (SiII)	164	8.9 (3.9)
J0124+0044	3.84	2.2610	20.70 ± 0.15	1.673 ± 0.009	1.497 ± 0.009	1.054 ± 0.012	-0.85 ± 0.15 (SiII)	98	7.6 (4.2)
J0132+1341	4.15	3.9360	20.40 ± 0.15	...	...	0.235 ± 0.035	-2.04 ± 0.15 (SiII)	43	... (3.8)
J0134+0400	4.18	3.6920	20.70 ± 0.10	0.455 ± 0.008	0.405 ± 0.007	0.163 ± 0.015	-2.41 ± 0.16 (FeII)	21	6.0 (3.8)
J0134+0400	4.18	3.7725	20.70 ± 0.10	2.331 ± 0.012	2.110 ± 0.013	1.527 ± 0.012	-0.91 ± 0.10 (SiII)	98	5.8 (3.8)
J0234-1806	4.30	3.6930	20.40 ± 0.15	2.422 ± 0.054	2.101 ± 0.065	1.063 ± 0.050	-1.31 ± 0.15 (FeII)	184	5.5 (3.8)
J0255+0048	4.00	3.2555	20.90 ± 0.10	2.620 ± 0.026	2.364 ± 0.023	1.622 ± 0.036	-1.08 ± 0.10 (SiII)	208	7.7 (3.8)
J0255+0048	4.00	3.9145	21.50 ± 0.10	...	...	0.457 ± 0.052	-1.92 ± 0.11 (SII)	21	... (3.8)
J0307-4945	4.72	3.5910	20.50 ± 0.15	1.426 ± 0.015	1.191 ± 0.012	0.750 ± 0.008	-1.48 ± 0.15 (FeII)	70	3.9 (3.8)
J0307-4945	4.72	4.4665	20.60 ± 0.10	1.742 ± 0.020	1.594 ± 0.025	...	-1.52 ± 0.10 (SiII)	219	5.7 (3.8)
J0415-4357	4.07	3.8080	20.50 ± 0.20	< 2.100	> 1.647	0.755 ± 0.043	-0.28 ± 0.20 (ZnII)	131	... (3.8)
J0424-2209	4.33	2.9825	21.40 ± 0.15	0.861 ± 0.025	> 0.824	0.336 ± 0.024	-1.86 ± 0.16 (ZnII)	32	6.5 (3.9)
J0529-3552	4.17	3.6840	20.40 ± 0.15	0.375 ± 0.025	0.087 ± 0.060	...	-2.38 ± 0.16 (SiII)	21	4.9 (3.8)
J0747+2739	4.13	3.4235	20.90 ± 0.10	1.601 ± 0.021	1.431 ± 0.031	1.635 ± 0.020	-1.58 ± 0.10 (FeII)	120	7.7 (3.8)
J0747+2739	4.13	3.9010	20.60 ± 0.15	...	...	0.569 ± 0.026	-2.03 ± 0.15 (SiII)	153	... (3.8)
J0818+0958	3.66	3.3060	21.00 ± 0.10	1.776 ± 0.025	1.306 ± 0.022	...	-1.51 ± 0.10 (SiII)	76	3.9 (3.8)
J0920+0725	3.65	2.2380	20.90 ± 0.15	1.390 ± 0.012	< 1.470	0.864 ± 0.012	-1.55 ± 0.15 (FeII)	120	7.4 (4.2)
J0955-0130	4.42	4.0245	20.70 ± 0.15	...	...	1.197 ± 0.043	-1.54 ± 0.15 (FeII)	336	... (3.8)
J1020+0922	3.64	2.5920	21.50 ± 0.10	...	...	...	-1.75 ± 0.11 (SiII)	76	... (4.0)
J1024+1819	3.52	2.2980	21.30 ± 0.10	0.839 ± 0.012	< 0.873	0.631 ± 0.009	-1.45 ± 0.10 (SiII)	54	7.6 (4.2)
J1057+1910	4.13	3.3735	20.30 ± 0.10	2.456 ± 0.095	1.956 ± 0.065	...	-1.21 ± 0.14 (FeII)	153	6.8 (3.8)
J1058+1245	4.34	3.4315	20.60 ± 0.10	1.673 ± 0.020	1.330 ± 0.045	...	-1.85 ± 0.10 (SiII)	127	7.3 (3.8)
J1108+1209	3.68	3.3965	20.70 ± 0.10	0.325 ± 0.012	0.274 ± 0.027	0.235 ± 0.010	-2.49 ± 0.17 (FeII)	32	4.3 (3.8)
J1108+1209	3.68	3.5460	20.80 ± 0.15	1.810 ± 0.019	1.806 ± 0.022	...	-1.15 ± 0.15 (SII)	70	7.9 (3.8)
J1312+0841	3.73	2.6600	20.50 ± 0.10	1.237 ± 0.044	0.860 ± 0.032	0.548 ± 0.035	-1.50 ± 0.10 (FeII)	153	5.4 (4.0)
J1421-0643	3.69	3.4490	20.30 ± 0.15	0.949 ± 0.017	0.786 ± 0.019	0.320 ± 0.024	-1.40 ± 0.16 (FeII)	43	4.5 (3.8)
J1517+0511	3.56	2.6885	21.40 ± 0.10	0.807 ± 0.021	0.770 ± 0.023	0.668 ± 0.007	-2.06 ± 0.11 (SiII)	43	7.1 (4.0)
J1552+1005	3.72	3.6010	21.10 ± 0.10	0.981 ± 0.019	0.899 ± 0.021	0.665 ± 0.009	-1.75 ± 0.11 (SII)	21	7.4 (3.8)
J1633+1411	4.37	2.8820	20.30 ± 0.15	0.366 ± 0.015	0.290 ± 0.019	...	-1.78 ± 0.16 (FeII)	15	4.8 (3.9)
J1723+2243	4.53	3.6980	20.50 ± 0.10	3.888 ± 0.017	3.505 ± 0.010	1.915 ± 0.013	-1.03 ± 0.10 (FeII)	374	7.1 (3.8)
J2239-0552	4.56	4.0805	20.60 ± 0.10	> 1.512	...	0.614 ± 0.010	-1.95 ± 0.10 (SiII)	131	... (3.8)
J2344+0342	4.25	3.2200	21.30 ± 0.10	1.524 ± 0.018	1.189 ± 0.017	1.056 ± 0.023	-1.70 ± 0.32 (ZnII)	54	4.5 (3.8)

[a]The cut is obtained from Table 2 in Ellison (2006), and is based on the resolution at the MgII 2796Å line (assuming R=5300). An absorber with a D-index larger than the cut value would be considered a DLA candidate.

1 indicates the metallicity of each DLA in the XQ-100 sample (Berg et al. 2016). Interestingly, the DLAs whose $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å all have low metallicities, below [M/H]< -1.7. The mean metallicity of the XQ-100 DLAs subsample with $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å is [M/H]$= -1.42 \pm 0.03$[4], whereas the entire sample has a mean metallicity of [M/H]$= -1.60 \pm 0.02$. However, the HI-weighted metallicity that is generally used to trace the evolution of DLA metallicity with cosmic time (e.g. Pettini et al. 1999; Rafelski et al. 2012) is negligibly affected, increasing from [M/H]$= -1.47 \pm 0.03$ for the full sample to [M/H]$= -1.43 \pm 0.03$ when $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å absorbers are excluded.

In addition to the metallicity, we checked for other DLA properties dependent on $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å selection. Figure 2 shows the distributions of [M/H], v_{90} (which has been suggested as a proxy for mass, e.g. Prochaska & Wolfe 1997; Haehnelt et al. 1998), z_{abs}, and logN(HI) for the XQ-100 DLAs for a selection cut of $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å. DLAs passing the equivalent width selection cut are shown as the shaded region, whilst DLAs that fail the selection cut are shown as the red line. DLAs with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å tend to show low metallicities, low logN(HI), and low v_{90} widths with respect to DLAs with $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å. These properties are consistent with a 'mass-metallicity' relationship seen in DLAs (Ledoux et al. 2006; Jorgenson et al. 2010; Møller et al. 2013; Neeleman et al. 2013; Christensen et al. 2014), where narrower metal lines are typically found in lower metallicity systems. The dependence of W_0^{2796} on velocity width has been previously identified in other works (Nestor et al. 2003; Ellison 2006; Murphy et al. 2007, R06). The D-index defined in Ellison (2006) potentially corrects for this bias towards low v_{90}, as the EW is normalized by the velocity width of the line. As demonstrated by the right panel of Figure 1, the D-index provides a more complete DLA sample relative to

[4] All errors for mean quantities are derived using a bootstrap technique with one million iterations. The errors on individual measurements were assumed to be Gaussian.



Figure 1. *Left Panel*: The rest-frame W_0^{2796} as a function of logN(HI) for the XQ-100 DLAs (large circles; colours indicate metallicity) and R06 data (black squares). For reference, the W_0^{2796} cuts ($W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.3$ Å and $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å) are shown as horizontal lines (dotted and dashed; respectively). Six of the 29 XQ-100 DLAs show $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å. *Middle Panel*: The ratio W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} as a function of logN(HI) for the XQ-100 sample and R06 data. The region below the dashed line at $W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} \leqslant 2.0$ characterizes the MgII-selected DLAs in the R06 sample, while the dotted line divides subDLAs from DLAs. 30% of the XQ-100 DLAs do not exhibit $W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} < 2$. *Right Panel*: The *D*-index ($W_0^{2796}/\Delta V$) as a function of logN(HI) for the XQ-100 DLA sample. The grey horizontal band represents the range of possible *D*-index cuts for the XQ-100 data. All XQ-100 DLAs pass their respective *D*-index cuts.



Figure 2. XQ-100 DLA distribution of metallicity ([M/H]), v_{90}, z_{abs}, and logN(HI) (from left to right). Different histograms are shown for DLAs that pass (shaded grey region) or fail (red line) the equivalent width cut $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å. The simple $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å cut clearly misses low metallicity systems, with small logN(HI) and v_{90}. For reference, the HI distribution of the R06 DLAs is shown as the dashed line. For visual purposes the R06 distribution is scaled down by a factor of ~ 1.8 to match the number of DLAs in the shaded region.

a fixed MgII EW cut by including those absorbers with low metallicity and v_{90}.

What are the implications for the cosmological context of DLAs at $2 \leqslant z_{abs} \leqslant 4$? The typical approach to calculating Ω_{HI} at high redshifts is to sum the total N(HI) observed over the total redshift path (X) for all QSOs observed, i.e.

$$\Omega_{HI} = \frac{H_0 \mu m_H}{c \rho_{crit}} \frac{\sum N(HI)}{\sum X}. \quad (1)$$

If DLAs are missed from a MgII-selected sample, the computed Ω_{HI} from Eq. 1 would be underestimated, as the sum of N(HI) would exclude the low MgII EW DLAs while $\sum X$ remains unaffected. For the entire XQ-100 DLA sam-

ple, $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ would be *underestimated* by $\sim 5\%$ if $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å DLAs were excluded.

However, R06 used a different approach to compute $\Omega_{\rm HI}$, that combines the number density of DLAs ($n_{\rm DLA}$; observed along MgII absorber sightlines in R06) and the average N(HI) of DLAs ($\langle$N(HI)$\rangle$),

$$\Omega_{\rm HI}(z) = \frac{H_0 \mu m_H}{c\rho_{crit}} \frac{E(z)}{(1+z)^2} n_{\rm DLA}(z) \langle N(HI) \rangle. \quad (2)$$

With the R06 formalism, the calculation of $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ depends on two measured variables: the frequency of absorbers and their mean N(HI). As shown in Fig. 2, in the XQ-100 sample, DLAs with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å tend to have lower N(HI) than higher EW absorbers. If the low EW systems were not included in the XQ-100 sample statistics then $\langle$N(HI)$\rangle$ and thus $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ would be overestimated. However, this effect in our sample is minimal: the mean log($\langle$ N(HI)$\rangle$) of the XQ-100 sample increases minimally from 20.98 ± 0.03 to 21.01 ± 0.03 ($\sim 7\%$) upon exclusion of the DLAs with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å. Therefore, for a constant $n_{\rm DLA}$, $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ would be *overestimated* by $\sim 7\%$ when low EW systems are excluded.

Comparison with the properties of MgII in DLAs at $z_{\rm abs} \leqslant 1.5$. In the latest compilation of 369 $z_{\rm abs} \sim 1$ MgII absorbers (Rao et al. in prep) only 1 out of 70 ($1.4^{+3.3}_{-1.2}\%$[5]) systems with $0.3 \leqslant W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å is confirmed to be a DLA (S. Rao, private communication). In contrast, $\sim 7^{+4}_{-2}\%$ of $0.3 \leqslant W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å systems are DLAs[6] (Lopez et al. in prep). The DLA incidence for the low W_0^{2796} regime is a factor of ~ 5 higher at $z_{\rm abs} \sim 3$ than at $z_{\rm abs} \sim 1$. These incidence rates indicate a potential evolution in the MgII properties of DLAs as a function of redshift. Whereas DLAs at low $z_{\rm abs}$ are almost uniquely associated with $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å, at high redshift a significant fraction of DLAs (20% in our sample) can have lower values. The known relationship between W_0^{2796} and velocity spread (e.g. Ellison 2006), as well as the relatively low values of measured v_{90} and low metallicity of the $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å DLAs in the XQ-100 sample indicate that low W_0^{2796} absorbers may preferentially be probing low mass galaxies, which are less prevalent at low redshift. This is consistent with the lack of low metallicity DLAs at low redshift (Rafelski et al. 2014; Berg et al. 2015). What is currently unknown is whether, despite their rarity, low MgII EW DLAs at low $z_{\rm abs}$ show the same distribution of properties (metallicities, v_{90}, N(HI)) as high $z_{\rm abs}$ DLAs of the same W_0^{2796}. Based on our high $z_{\rm abs}$ results, we caution that DLAs that have been selected based on a high MgII EW cut have the potential to be biased against low metallicity systems. Indeed, Kulkarni et al. (2007) have argued that MgII pre-selection could select against DLAs with [M/H] < -2.5. Nonetheless, the low frequency of such systems at low redshifts (and their tendency towards lower N(HI)) means that $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ and the HI-weighted metallicity is unlikely to be significantly affected.

[5] The subscript and superscript represent the Poisson 1σ confidence limits derived from Tables 1 and 2 in Gehrels (1986).

[6] We note that the DLA incidence rate for $W_0^{2796} > 0.6$ Å absorbers in the XQ-100 sample is $\sim 14^{+4}_{-3}\%$ (compared to the $\sim 22\%$ seen at $z_{\rm abs} \sim 1$; R06).

4 SUMMARY

Using the unbiased sample of 29 DLAs from the XQ-100 sample ($2 < z_{\rm abs} < 4$), we have investigated the MgII properties of $2 \leqslant z_{\rm abs} \leqslant 4$ absorbers. In summary:

(i) The XQ-100 DLAs span a larger range of W_0^{2796} and W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} than previously seen in low-redshift samples. 20% of the XQ-100 DLAs have $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å. We note that both the *D*-index presented in Ellison (2006) and the $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.3$ Å cut (R00) identify all the DLAs in the XQ-100 sample.

(ii) Using $W_0^{2796}/W_0^{2600} < 2.0$ only selected 70% of the XQ-100 DLAs, and would not aid in pre-selecting DLAs from MgII absorbers at high redshifts.

(iii) The XQ-100 DLAs with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å tend to have lower metallicities, low v_{90} and lower N(HI) compared to DLAs with high MgII EW, suggesting that DLAs at $z_{\rm abs} \gtrsim 2.0$ with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å may preferentially select lower mass galaxies.

(iv) The HI-weighted metallicity of our complete XQ-100 DLA sample is [M/H]$= -1.47 \pm 0.03$, compared with [M/H]$= -1.43 \pm 0.03$ for only DLAs with $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å.

(v) The mean N(HI), and hence $\Omega_{\rm HI}$, of DLAs may be over-estimated solely using $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å systems. This is due to both a bias against low N(HI) absorbers and a possible over-representation of high N(HI) absorbers for the $W_0^{2796} \geqslant 0.6$ Å DLAs. If the cosmic HI gas density is computed based on the summation of N(HI) in the DLA sample (Eq. 1), the exclusion of $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å absorbers leads to a reduction in $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ by 5%. However, since DLAs associated with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å absorbers tend to have lower HI column densities, using the mean N(HI) to compute the cosmic HI gas density (e.g. Eq. 2) results in a 7% increase in $\Omega_{\rm HI}$ if $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å absorbers are excluded, relative to the full DLA sample.

(vi) There is a factor of ~ 5 more DLAs with $W_0^{2796} < 0.6$ Å at high redshifts ($z_{\rm abs} \sim 3$) compared to lower redshifts ($z_{\rm abs} \sim 1$), suggestive of an evolution in the MgII properties of DLAs as a function of redshift. This evolution would be consistent with the deficit of low-metallicity systems observed at low redshifts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to Sandhya Rao for her useful comments on an earlier edition of this manuscript, and sharing her results of a new MgII survey prior to publication. We also thank the referee (Michael Murphy) for his comments for improving the manuscript. SLE acknowledges the receipt of an NSERC Discovery Grant which supported this research. JXP is supported by NSF grant AST-1109447. SL has been supported by FONDECYT grant number 1140838 and partially by PFB-06 CATA. KDD is supported by an NSF AAPF fellowship awarded under NSF grant AST-1302093.

REFERENCES

Berg T. A. M., Ellison S. L., Prochaska J. X., Venn K. A., Dessauges-Zavadsky M., 2015, MNRAS, 452, 4326

Berg T. A. M., et al., 2016, Accepted to MNRAS

Christensen L., Møller P., Fynbo J. P. U., Zafar T., 2014, MNRAS, 445, 225
Crighton N. H. M. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 452, 217
Dessauges-Zavadsky M., Ellison S. L., Murphy M. T., 2009, MNRAS, 396, L61
Ellison S. L., 2006, MNRAS, 368, 335
Ellison S. L., Murphy M. T., Dessauges-Zavadsky M., 2009, MNRAS, 392, 998
Ellison S. L., Prochaska J. X., Hennawi J., Lopez S., Usher C., Wolfe A. M., Russell D. M., Benn C. R., 2010, MNRAS, 406, 1435
Ellison S. L., Yan L., Hook I. M., Pettini M., Wall J. V., Shaver P., 2002, A&A, 383, 91
Gehrels N., 1986, ApJ, 303, 336
Haehnelt M. G., Steinmetz M., Rauch M., 1998, ApJ, 495, 647
Jorgenson R. A., Wolfe A. M., Prochaska J. X., 2010, ApJ, 722, 460
Kulkarni V. P., Khare P., Péroux C., York D. G., Lauroesch J. T., Meiring J. D., 2007, ApJ, 661, 88
Lanzetta K. M., Wolfe A. M., Turnshek D. A., 1995, ApJ, 440, 435
Ledoux C., Petitjean P., Fynbo J. P. U., Møller P., Srianand R., 2006, A&A, 457, 71
López S., et al., 2016, Accepted to A&A. DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201628161
Martin A. M., Papastergis E., Giovanelli R., Haynes M. P., Springob C. M., Stierwalt S., 2010, ApJ, 723, 1359
Møller P., Fynbo J. P. U., Ledoux C., Nilsson K. K., 2013, MNRAS, 430, 2680
Murphy M. T., Curran S. J., Webb J. K., Ménager H., Zych B. J., 2007, MNRAS, 376, 673
Neeleman M., Prochaska J. X., Ribaudo J., Lehner N., Howk J. C., Rafelski M., Kanekar N., 2016, ApJ, 818, 113
Neeleman M., Wolfe A. M., Prochaska J. X., Rafelski M., 2013, ApJ, 769, 54
Nestor D. B., Rao S. M., Turnshek D. A., Vanden Berk D., 2003, ApJL, 595, L5
Noterdaeme P. et al., 2012, A&A, 547, L1
Péroux C., Deharveng J.-M., Le Brun V., Cristiani S., 2004, MNRAS, 352, 1291
Péroux C., McMahon R. G., Storrie-Lombardi L. J., Irwin M. J., 2003, MNRAS, 346, 1103
Pettini M., Ellison S. L., Steidel C. C., Bowen D. V., 1999, ApJ, 510, 576
Prochaska J. X., Herbert-Fort S., Wolfe A. M., 2005, ApJ, 635, 123
Prochaska J. X., Wolfe A. M., 1997, ApJ, 487, 73
Prochaska J. X., Wolfe A. M., 2009, ApJ, 696, 1543
Rafelski M., Neeleman M., Fumagalli M., Wolfe A. M., Prochaska J. X., 2014, ApJL, 782, L29
Rafelski M., Wolfe A. M., Prochaska J. X., Neeleman M., Mendez A. J., 2012, ApJ, 755, 89
Rao S. M., Turnshek D. A., 2000, ApJS, 130, 1
Rao S. M., Turnshek D. A., Nestor D. B., 2006, ApJ, 636, 610
Rhee J., Lah P., Chengalur J. N., Briggs F. H., Colless M., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 2675
Sánchez-Ramírez R. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 456, 4488
Storrie-Lombardi L. J., Wolfe A. M., 2000, ApJ, 543, 552
Wolfe A. M., Gawiser E., Prochaska J. X., 2005, ARA&A, 43, 861
Wolfe A. M., Turnshek D. A., Smith H. E., Cohen R. D., 1986, ApJS, 61, 249
Zafar T., Péroux C., Popping A., Milliard B., Deharveng J.-M., Frank S., 2013, A&A, 556, A141
Zwaan M. A., Meyer M. J., Staveley-Smith L., Webster R. L., 2005, MNRAS, 359, L30